

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,161 03/16/2001		Frederick William Strahm	10559/423001/P10437	5789
20985	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022			PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B	
	LIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	
			/811,161	STRAHM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Exa	miner	Art Unit	
		Ast	ok B. Patel -	2154	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum so are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app (will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may ly and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 27 Januai	v 2006.		
2a)□		2b)⊠ This actio			į
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the					
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex pa</i>	rte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the	application.		,	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-18 and	/ 33-37 is/are wi	thdrawn from cons	ideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11,19-32 and 38-48 is/ar	e rejected.		•	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elec	ction requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers		·	•	
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		·	
· <u>·</u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		l or b)□ objected t	to by the Examiner.	
·	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	•	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		- '	· · ·	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	-	•	-,,	` '
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		-		
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority			Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies				Stage
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		_
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	e certified copies n	ot received.	
		•			
Attachmen	· t(s)				
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u> </u>	PTO/SB/08)	5)	of Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/811,161 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-48 are subject to examination. Claims 12-18 and 33-37 have been cancelled.

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/27/2006 has been entered.

### **Response to Arguments**

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11, 19-32 and 38-48 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351 (a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-7, 9, 19, 20, 22-25, 27-32, 38-45 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Doviak et al. (hereinafter Doviak) (US 6, 198, 920).

Art Unit: 2154

### Referring to claim 1,

Doviak teaches a method (Fig. 30) comprising:

at a device (Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31, "The Router 200 of the present invention may be used inside a mobile vehicle, or carried by a person in a portable application. Further, the Router 200 may be provided as an external component connected to a portable device (e.g., a laptop computer) or may be implemented within the portable device, such that the portable device and the Router 200 are provided as one integrated unit. Further, the Router 200 may be used in conjunction with, or integrated into measuring and testing equipment, and transmission equipment. Such a remote device may be needed for very remote monitoring applications, such as wildlife studies, etc., necessitating the use of multiple Networks."), opening a first connection to a server; establishing an information exchange protocol for communicating on the first connection (col. 34, line 19-55);

at a device(Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31, "The Router 200 of the present invention may be used inside a mobile vehicle, or carried by a person in a portable application. Further, the Router 200 may be provided as an external component connected to a portable device (e.g., a laptop computer) or may be implemented within the portable device, such that the portable device and the Router 200 are provided as one integrated unit. Further, the Router 200 may be used in conjunction with, or integrated into measuring and testing equipment, and transmission equipment. Such a remote device may be needed for very remote monitoring applications, such as wildlife studies, etc.,

necessitating the use of multiple Networks., opening a second connection to the server (col. 34, line 19-55);

selecting, from connections including the second connection, a connection to be an active connection (col. 34, line 56 through col. 35, line 43); and

communicating information via the active connection using the information exchange protocol established for the first connection. (col. 36, line 26-34).

### Referring to claim 2,

teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising communicating information configured for the information exchange protocol using the first connection as the active connection prior to selecting the second connection as the active connection. (col. 36, line 26-34, "The process of the Decision process 206 checking the User Configuration 208 and the Network Availability 210 continues indefinitely, and is described in detail in FIGS. 33-36. Generally, the process helps to guarantee that the mobile user always has access to a Network for sending and receiving data. This process also allows what is known now as "seamless roaming". This means that the mobile user can move between Networks and continue to have reliable data transmission on the different Networks.").

#### Referring to claim 3,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1 in which the second connection is opened prior to establishing the information exchange protocol. (col. 36, line 26-34, "The process of the Decision process 206 checking the User Configuration 208 and the Network Availability 210 continues indefinitely, and is described in detail in FIGS. 33-36.

Art Unit: 2154

Generally, the process helps to guarantee that the mobile user always has access to a Network for sending and receiving data. This process also allows what is known now as "seamless roaming". This means that the mobile user can move between Networks and continue to have reliable data transmission on the different Networks.").

### Referring to claim 4,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1 in which a single one of the connections is selected as the active connection. (col. 36, line 26-34, "The process of the Decision process 206 checking the User Configuration 208 and the Network Availability 210 continues indefinitely, and is described in detail in FIGS. 33-36. Generally, the process helps to guarantee that the mobile user always has access to a Network for sending and receiving data. This process also allows what is known now as "seamless roaming". This means that the mobile user can move between Networks and continue to have reliable data transmission on the different Networks.").

### Referring to claim 5,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1 in which two or more connections are selected as the active connection (col. 34, line 37-55, "The Network Availability 210 of each Network Interface 214 is determined in a manner specific to the particular interfaced Network. For example, if the Network is CDPD, the Network Availability 210 interrogates the network to determine if the Network Interface 214 is currently registered with the Network, and therefore active. Also, in the CDPD network, the Network Availability 214 determines if the Received Signal Strength Indication (RSSI) is sufficient to transmit relatively error-free data. For example, in the NovaTel CDPD Network

Art Unit: 2154

Interface a RSSI of -100 dBm will provide for good data transmission qualities. Thus, if the Network Availability 210 function queries the NovaTel CDPD Network Interface for the RSSI, and the response is -110 dBm, then the signal is too weak for error-free transmission, and therefore cannot be used at this time. This information is passed to the Decision process 206 to determine if the "current Network" should remain the "current Network", and if not, to determine what the "next Network" should be.")

### Referring to claim 6,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1 in which the second connection includes a wireless connection(col. 34, line 36-55).

### Referring to claim 7,

Doviak teaches a method (Fig. 30) comprising:

at a device (Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31, "The Router 200 of the present invention may be used inside a mobile vehicle, or carried by a person in a portable application. Further, the Router 200 may be provided as an external component connected to a portable device (e.g., a laptop computer) or may be implemented within the portable device, such that the portable device and the Router 200 are provided as one integrated unit. Further, the Router 200 may be used in conjunction with, or integrated into measuring and testing equipment, and transmission equipment. Such a remote device may be needed for very remote monitoring applications, such as wildlife studies, etc., necessitating the use of multiple Networks."), opening a first connection to a server; establishing an information exchange protocol for communicating on the first connection (col. 34, line 19-55);

Art Unit: 2154

at the device (Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31, "The Router 200 of the present invention may be used inside a mobile vehicle, or carried by a person in a portable application. Further, the Router 200 may be provided as an external component connected to a portable device (e.g., a laptop computer) or may be implemented within the portable device, such that the portable device and the Router 200 are provided as one integrated unit. Further, the Router 200 may be used in conjunction with, or integrated into measuring and testing equipment, and transmission equipment. Such a remote device may be needed for very remote monitoring applications, such as wildlife studies, etc., necessitating the use of multiple Networks."), opening a second connection to the server (col. 34, line 19-55);

selecting from connections including the second connection, a connection to be an active connection (col. 34 through col. 35, line 43);

communicating information configured for the information exchange protocol . that was established for the first connections using the active connection; (col. 36, line 26-34) and

monitoring the connections for a parameter selected from the group consisting of transmittal rate, latency, and cost of transmittal; and reselecting the active connection to optimize the parameter. (col. 35, line 13-43, "Other user configurable parameters include: the priority of each internal slot, (e.g., 1 to 6) where the slot with priority 1 is the default startup slot and Network; baud rate of each slot (a default rate may be set to 9600 bits per second, but may be configured to be any standard baud rate, divisible by 300, up to 115.2 kilo bits per second); cost per kilobyte per slot (e.g., \$0.xx per kilobyte

where the least costly slot that is available and highest priority will be default); protocol per slot (e.g., none, Point to Point (PPP), Serial Line Internet Protocol (SLIP), Hayes "AT" commands, transparent); slot mode, for example, transparent, PSTN, cellular, IP, receive only; slot name or address or phone number; slot to be used for diagnostics (e.g., default may be set to slot 2); slot muting to be used (e.g., none, PL, DTMF, other); number of retry transmissions per Network Interface (per slot) before declaration of Network Interface failure (e.g., 0-10); if slot Network Interface needs to be configured before it can operate (e.g., y,n); slot to be used for remote display (e.g., default may be set to slot 2); slot to be used for Device or Application 52 (e.g., a connection to a mobile computer; default is slot 1); and frequency at which Network Availability 210 is checked (e.g., default may be set to five seconds). Other user configurable parameters may be introduced and configured as necessary.")

# Referring to claim 9,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1, 4, or 6 in which the information includes a command that is effected by a module on the server. (col. 30, line 35-48).

### Referring to claims 19 and 20,

Claims 19 and 20 are claims to an apparatus comprising a processor and software configured to cause the processor to carry out the method of claim 7. Therefore claims 19 and 20 are rejected for the reasons set forth for claims 19 and 20.

### Referring to claim 22,

Claim 22 is a claim to the apparatus comprising a processor and software configured to cause the processor to carry out the method of claim 9. Therefore claim 22 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 9.

### Referring to claim 23,

Claim 23 is a claim to an article comprising a machine-readable medium that stores machine-executable instructions, the instructions causing a machine to perform the method of claim 1. Therefore claim 23 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 1.

### Referring to claim 24,

Claim 24 is a claim to an article comprising a machine-readable medium that stores machine-executable instructions, the instructions causing a machine to perform the method of claim 4. Therefore claim 24 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 4.

### Referring to claim 25,

Claim 24 is a claim to an article comprising a machine-readable medium that stores machine-executable instructions, the instructions causing a machine configuration of claim 20. Therefore claim 25 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 20.

### Referring to claim 27,

Claim 27 is a claim to an article comprising a machine-readable medium that stores machine-executable instructions, the instructions causing a machine to perform the method of claim 9. Therefore claim 27 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 9.

### Referring to claim 28,

Claim 28 is a claim to a system performing the method of claim 1. Therefore claim 28 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 1.

Art Unit: 2154

### Referring to claim 29,

Claim 29 is a claim to a system performing the method of claim 6. Therefore claim 29 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 6.

#### Referring to claim 30,

Claim 30 is a claim to a system including the apparatus of claim 20. Therefore claim 30 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 20.

### Referring to claims 31 and 32,

Doviak teaches the system of claim 28 in which the device is further configured to select, from the connections, a connection to be a passive connection, and system of claim 31 in which the passive connection is maintained while at least some of the information is communicated using the active connection. (col. 36, line 6-36).

### Referring to claim 38,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1 in which the device detects its own geographic position and compares its geographic position to the range of one of the connections. (col. 36, line 26-34, "The process of the Decision process 206 checking the User Configuration 208 and the Network Availability 210 continues indefinitely, and is described in detail in FIGS. 33-36. Generally, the process helps to guarantee that the mobile user always has access to a Network for sending and receiving data. This process also allows what is known now as "seamless roaming". This means that the mobile user can move between Networks and continue to have reliable data transmission on the different Networks.")

### Referring to claims 39 and 40,

Art Unit: 2154

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1 in which the device retains outgoing information until reception is acknowledged, and the method of claim 39 in which the device monitors a buffer that retains outgoing information to determine whether to transmit additional outgoing information.(col. 31, line 9-19)

### Referring to claim 41,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 1 in which the device implements software-based application sockets to connect application input/output streams to the server. (Figs. 33-36).

### Referring to claims 42, 43 and 44,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 7 in which the parameter comprises transmittal rate, and the method of claim 7 in which the parameter comprises latency, and the method of claim 7 in which the parameter comprises cost of transmittal. (col. 35, line 13-43).

### Referring to claim 45,

Doviak teaches a method (Fig. 30) comprising:

at a device (Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31), opening a first connection to a server; establishing an information exchange protocol for communicating on the first connection (col. 34, line 19-55);

at a device (Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31), opening a second connection to the server; selecting, from connections including the second connection (col. 34, line 56 through col. 35, line 43), a connection to be an active connection and another connection to be a passive connection (col. 36, line 6-36); and

communicating information using the active connection, wherein the same network. Security, and compression protocols and parameters are used for information exchange as for the first connections while maintaining the passive connection. (col. 39, line 26-65, col. 35, line 13-43, col. 33, line 57-col. 34, line 3).

### Referring to claim 48,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 45 that comprises monitoring the connections for a parameter selected from the group consisting of signal strength, transmittal rate, latency, cost of transmittal, and connection integrity. (col. 35, line 13-43)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **6.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8, 10, 21, 26, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doviak et al. (hereinafter Doviak) (US 6, 198, 920) in view of Gopalakrishna (US 6,614, 808).

### Referring to claims 8 and 10,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Doviak as stated above, Doviak fails to teach the method of claim 1 in which the information is communicated in packets that include Art Unit: 2154

aggregated information for more than one application, and the method of claim 8 in which, the extent of aggregation for each application in the packets that include aggregated information for more than one application is dependent on an indicator of priority for information exchange associated with each application.

Gopalakrishna teaches the information is communicated in packets that include aggregated information for more than one application, and (col. 5, line 40-47, FIG. 2 shows interactions among various layers associated with the source system 110 and the target system 111 in generating aggregated packets and in demultiplexing the aggregated packets. A plurality of client sessions 118 and 119 send a plurality of session data packets which are combined into a session layer aggregated packet 120. The client sessions 118 and 119 can be database sessions communicating queries to and responses from a database server.") the method of claim 8 in which, the extent of aggregation for each application in the packets that include aggregated information for more than one application is dependent on an indicator of priority for information exchange associated with each application. (col. 6, line 34-44, "An exemplary data structure of the TLPDU 210 is shown in more detail in FIG. 4. The TLPDU 210 contains a field 222 for storing one or more flags such as a request type flag. Also, the TLPDU 210 contains a field 224 for storing a session number or client identifier. A field 226 stores packet sequence number information, while a field 228 stores the TLPDU size information. The optional field 226 is useful in reassembling the packets into a predetermined sequence, when more than one transport is used. The field 228 provides more information on the TLPDU size.")

Art Unit: 2154

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Gopalakrishna into the system of Doviak because, as stated by Gopalakrishna, "The protocols discussed above significantly reduce the number of operating system communication calls. Correspondingly, the number of communication calls necessary to send and receive a given number of network packets between the source system (concentrator) and the target system (server) processes across the network is also reduced due to packet aggregation. The resource requirements of the server process will be reduced proportional to amount of average aggregation measured. The reduced number of communication calls needed in the Server will improve the scalability of the server in a client-server architecture. For small sized packets, packet aggregation helps improving the network bandwidth due to the reduced packet/frame header overhead at the transport or lower layers. Moreover, in networks with large MTU (Maximum Transmission Unit) aggregating small packets reduce communication latency."

#### Referring to claim 21,

Claim 21 is a claim to the apparatus comprising a processor and software configured to cause the processor to carry out the method of claim 8. Therefore claim 21 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 8.

### Referring to claim 26,

Claim 26 is a claim to an article comprising a machine-readable medium that stores machine-executable instructions, the instructions causing a machine configuration of claim 21. Therefore claim 26 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 21.

Art Unit: 2154

### Referring to claim 46,

Keeping in mind the teachings of Doviak as stated above, Doviak fails to teach the method of claim 45 in which the information is communicated in packets that include aggregated information for more than one application.

Gopalakrishna teaches the information is communicated in packets that include aggregated information for more than one application, and (col. 5, line 40-47, FIG. 2 shows interactions among various layers associated with the source system 110 and the target system 111 in generating aggregated packets and in demultiplexing the aggregated packets. A plurality of client sessions 118 and 119 send a plurality of session data packets which are combined into a session layer aggregated packet 120. The client sessions 118 and 119 can be database sessions communicating queries to and responses from a database server.") the method of claim 8 in which, the extent of aggregation for each application in the packets that include aggregated information for more than one application is dependent on an indicator of priority for information exchange associated with each application. (col. 6, line 34-44, "An exemplary data structure of the TLPDU 210 is shown in more detail in FIG. 4. The TLPDU 210 contains a field 222 for storing one or more flags such as a request type flag. Also, the TLPDU 210 contains a field 224 for storing a session number or client identifier. A field 226 stores packet sequence number information, while a field 228 stores the TLPDU size information. The optional field 226 is useful in reassembling the packets into a predetermined sequence, when more than one transport is used. The field 228 provides more information on the TLPDU size.")

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to implement the teachings of Gopalakrishna into the system of Doviak because, as stated by Gopalakrishna, "The protocols discussed above significantly reduce the number of operating system communication calls. Correspondingly, the number of communication calls necessary to send and receive a given number of network packets between the source system (concentrator) and the target system (server) processes across the network is also reduced due to packet The resource requirements of the server process will be reduced aggregation. proportional to amount of average aggregation measured. The reduced number of communication calls needed in the Server will improve the scalability of the server in a client-server architecture. For small sized packets, packet aggregation helps improving the network bandwidth due to the reduced packet/frame header overhead at the transport or lower layers. Moreover, in networks with large MTU (Maximum Transmission Unit) aggregating small packets reduce communication latency."

8. Claims 11 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doviak et al. (hereinafter Doviak) (US 6, 198, 920) in view of Inoue et al. (hereinafter Inoue) (US 2005/0132049 A1).

### Referring to claim 11,

Doviak teaches a method (Fig. 30) comprising:

at a device (Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31, "The Router 200 of the present invention may be used inside a mobile vehicle, or carried by a person in a portable application. Further, the Router 200 may be provided as an external component connected to a

portable device (e.g., a laptop computer) or may be implemented within the portable device, such that the portable device and the Router 200 are provided as one integrated unit. Further, the Router 200 may be used in conjunction with, or integrated into measuring and testing equipment, and transmission equipment. Such a remote device may be needed for very remote monitoring applications, such as wildlife studies, etc., necessitating the use of multiple Networks."), opening a first connection to a server; establishing an information exchange protocol for communicating on the first connection (col. 34, line 19-55);

at the device (Fig. 30, col. 38, line 19-31, "The Router 200 of the present invention may be used inside a mobile vehicle, or carried by a person in a portable application. Further, the Router 200 may be provided as an external component connected to a portable device (e.g., a laptop computer) or may be implemented within the portable device, such that the portable device and the Router 200 are provided as one integrated unit. Further, the Router 200 may be used in conjunction with, or integrated into measuring and testing equipment, and transmission equipment. Such a remote device may be needed for very remote monitoring applications, such as wildlife studies, etc., necessitating the use of multiple Networks."), opening a second connection to the server (col. 34, line 19-55);

selecting from connections including the second connection, a connection to be an active connection (col. 34 through col. 35, line 43);

communicating information configured for the information exchange protocol . that was established for the first connections using the active connection; (col. 36, line 26-34).

Doviak fails to teach the information comprising a command causes the server to contact a remote system, receive a reply from the remote system, and effect a response without transmitting the reply to the device.

Inoue teaches the information comprising a command causes the server to contact a remote system, receive a reply from the remote system, and effect a response without transmitting the reply to the device. (Abstract; storing them in the cache server cache until requested by client).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Doviak and Inoue because they both deal with network communications between a client and server. Furthermore, the teaching of Inoue to contact a remote system, receive a reply from the remote system, and effect a response without transmitting the reply to the device would result in a more rapid response to future requests by caching material that it is anticipated will be requested in the immediate future.

#### Referring to claim 47,

Doviak teaches the method of claim 45 in which the information comprises a command for a module on the server (col. 30, line 35-48).; and fails to teach the method comprises effecting the command by contacting a remote server, receiving a reply from the remote server and effecting a response without transmitting the reply to the device.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,161 Page 19

Art Unit: 2154

Inoue teaches the information comprising a command causes the server to contact a remote system, receive a reply from the remote system, and effect a response without transmitting the reply to the device. (Abstract; storing them in the cache server cache until requested by client).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Doviak and Inoue because they both deal with network communications between a client and server. Furthermore, the teaching of Inoue to contact a remote system, receive a reply from the remote system, and effect a response without transmitting the reply to the device would result in a more rapid response to future requests by caching material that it is anticipated will be requested in the immediate future.

#### Conclusion

Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECANOLOGY CENTER 2100

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok B. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abp